

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION 1   | NO.                     | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/718,119  |                         | 11/19/2003    | Kiyoshi Masaki       | 8861-385U1          | 9898             |  |
| 570   | 7590                    | 10/07/2005    |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
|   |                         | RAUSS HAUER & | MILLER,              | MILLER, BRIAN E     |                  |  |
| ONE COMMERCE SQUARE<br>2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 |                         |               | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|   | PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103  |               |                      | 2652                |                  |  |
|   | DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005 |               | 5                    |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application No.                            | Applicant(s)                     |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | 065 - 4 - 4' 0  | 10/718,119                                 | MASAKI ET AL.                    |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner                                   | Art Unit                         |  |  |  |  |
| <u> :-</u>  |   | Brian E. Miller                            | 2652                             |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>or Reply  | appears on the cover sheet with the        | correspondence address           |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is especified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/  | 28/05 & 7/15/05                            |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| . —   | Since this application is in condition for allow  |  | osecution as to the merits is    |  |  |  |  |
| ,—  | closed in accordance with the practice unde   | •  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are rejected.  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠   | Claim(s) 1-4 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)🛛  | )⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.                       |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).                       |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).      |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.                  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of: |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage                         |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | • •   |  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Inform   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date    |  | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2652

Claims 1-4 are now pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of species (3), i.e., claims 3-4, in the reply filed on 7/15/05 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7/15/05.

# **Drawings**

3. The replacement drawings were received on 7/15/05. These drawings are acceptable.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (a) Claims 3 & 4 recite "wherein the differences (flatness) in height among said projections...are within 40 um." It is not clear from this statement whether the height (h1) of each of the projections are 40 um, i.e., from the clamper (or turntable surface) or that the difference in height between each of the projections are within 40 um. The language in the claims (and the specification) does not make this clear.

Art Unit: 2652

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3 & 4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, filed 1/28/05, with respect to claims 3-4, along with the amendments to the claims, have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, in response to the newly added language, a 112 (2) rejection has been set forth, as described, supra.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-7578. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7:15am-4:45pm (and every other friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2652

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

BEM

October 3, 2005